Reduced-Rank Singular Value Decomposition for Dimension Reduction with High-Dimensional Data

Maxime Turgeon

June 12th, 2017

McGill University Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Occupational Health

- Stepan Grinek (BC Cancer Agency)
- Celia Greenwood (McGill University)
- Aurélie Labbe (HEC Montréal)



- Modern genomics bring an abundance of high-dimensional, correlated measurements **Y**.
- We are interested in describing the relationship between such a **Y** and a set of covariates *X*.
- Our approach is to summarise this relationship using the largest root λ of a *double Wishart problem*:

 $det \left(\mathbf{A} - \lambda (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \right) = 0.$

There are many well-known examples:

- Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA);
- Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA);
- Testing for independence of two multivariate samples;
- Testing for the equality of covariance matrices of two independent samples from multivariate normal distributions;
- Principal Component of Explained Variance (PCEV).

In this work:

- 1. We explain how to solve the double Wishart problem in a high-dimensional setting.
- 2. We provide a heuristic for assessing the significance of the largest root of the determinantal equation.

In what follows, we illustrate this approach using PCEV, but it is applicable to **any** double Wishart problem (e.g. CCA).

Methods

We assume a linear relationship:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \beta^T X + \varepsilon.$$

The total variance of the outcome can then be decomposed as

$$Var(\mathbf{Y}) = Var(\beta^T X) + Var(\varepsilon)$$
$$= V_M + V_R.$$

The PCEV framework seeks a linear combination $w^T \mathbf{Y}$ such that the proportion of variance explained by X is maximised; this proportion is defined as the following Rayleigh quotient:

$$h(w) = \frac{w^T V_M w}{w^T (V_M + V_R) w}$$

For the corresponding Wishart problem, we have

$$A = V_M, B = V_R.$$

We also have
$$\lambda = \max_{w} h(w)$$
.

From the theory of SVD, we know there exists an orthogonal matrix $\ensuremath{\mathcal{T}}$ such that

$$D := T^T \left(V_R + V_M \right) T$$

is diagonal.

When p > n, the diagonal matrix D is **singular**, with rank r < p.

Solution: Focus only on the nonzero diagonal elements.

Reduced-Rank SVD

Let
$$\tilde{T} = T_{[r]} D_{[r]}^{-1/2}$$
. Therefore we get:
 $\tilde{T}^T (V_R + V_M) \tilde{T} = I_r$.

Similarly, we can diagonalise $\tilde{T}^T V_M \tilde{T}$ via an orthogonal transformation S:

$$S^{T}\left(\tilde{T}^{T}V_{M}\tilde{T}\right)S=\Lambda.$$

The largest root λ of the double Wishart problem is the largest element on the diagonal of Λ .

Note: the vector w maximising the proportion of variance h(w) is the column of $\tilde{T}S$ corresponding to the largest root.

There is evidence in the literature that the null distribution of the largest root λ should be related to the **Tracy-Widom distribution**.

- Johnstone: $(\log(\lambda) \mu)/\sigma \rightarrow TW$ when p < n.
- **Turgeon et al.**: The null distribution of λ is asymptotically the same as the largest root of a scaled Wishart.
 - The null distribution of the largest root of a Wishart is also related to *TW*.
- More generally, random matrix theory suggests that the Tracy-widom distribution is key in central-limit-like theorem for random matrices.

Estimate the null distribution

- 1. Perform a small number of permutations (\sim 25) on the rows of $\mathbf{Y};$
- 2. For each permutation, compute the largest root statistic.
- 3. Fit a location-scale variant of the Tracy-Widom distribution.

Numerical investigations support this approach for computing p-values. The main advantage over a traditional permutation strategy is the computation time.

Simulations

Simulation setting

- We compared 4 different approaches:
 - PCEV with reduced-rank SVD
 - Lasso
 - Elastic net
 - Principal Component Regression
- We simulated *p* = 500, 750, ..., 2000 outcomes, 100 observations, one binary covariate.
- Covariance structure is block-diagonal:
 - 10 uncorrelated blocks of equal size
 - Within block is autoregressive (with parameter ρ) with baseline correlation α
- 25% of the outcomes in each block are associated with the covariate, with a fix effect size of 0.333.

Simulation results: Power analysis



Data analysis

- DNA methylation measured with Illumina 450k on 120 cell-separated samples
- We focus on Monocytes only.
- 18 controls; 35 Rheumatoid arthritis, 24 Lupus, 43 Scleroderma
- We group CpGs by KEGG pathways
 - On average about 1500 CpGs per pathway; max of 21,800.
- We compare PCEV to Lasso and Elastic-net.

Results



Pathway	PCEV pvalue	Lasso Prop.	Enet Prop.
Vitamin B6 metabolism	$<$ 3.4 \times 10 ⁻⁸	0.12	0.32
Primary bile acid biosynthesis	$< 3.4 imes 10^{-8}$	0.10	0.28
Fatty acid biosynthesis	$< 3.4 imes 10^{-8}$	0.07	0.25
Ascorbate and aldarate metabolism	$< 3.4 imes 10^{-8}$	0.10	0.24
Steroid biosynthesis	$< 3.4 imes 10^{-8}$	0.08	0.22
Glycosphingolipid biosynthesis	$< 3.4 imes 10^{-8}$	0.06	0.21
Histidine metabolism	$< 3.4 imes 10^{-8}$	0.07	0.20
Thiamine metabolism	$< 3.4 imes 10^{-8}$	0.10	0.19
Folate biosynthesis	$< 3.4 imes 10^{-8}$	0.10	0.19
Other types of O-glycan biosynthesis	$< 3.4 imes 10^{-8}$	0.09	0.19

Conclusion

- Data summary is an important feature in data analysis, and this can be achieved using dimension reduction techniques.
- In a high-dimensional setting, **estimation** and **inference** are more challenging
 - Estimation: Reduced-rank SVD;
 - Inference: Fitted location-scale Tracy-Widom.
- Our approach is computationally simple and provides good power.
- Simulations and data analyses confirm its advantage over a more traditional approach using PCA, as well as other high-dimensional approaches such as Lasso and Elastic-net regression.

Questions or comments?

For more information and updates, visit maxturgeon.ca.